

Reflections for a post 2020 Strategic Plan for Biodiversity

in light of the Paris Climate
Agreement rationale



Yann Laurans & Aleksandar Rankovic, Iddri
yann.laurans@iddri.org & aleksandar.rankovic@iddri.org
Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations

The post-2020 agenda in three nested dolls



**N°1: postponing, renewing, rethinking,
revamping objectives
Beijing objectives?**



**N°2: renewing the CBD mechanisms
Paris-like NBSAPs?**



**N°3: renewing international biodiversity
governance
The Shanghai Protocol on pesticides?**



Postponing and renewing the objectives

1. Business as usual scenario: breaking down objectives
Strength out of precision – this time we'll be serious about it

2. Means overcoming current flaws of the system
 - Blurred responsibilities
 - Limited precision
 - ...

3. Five objectives for objectives (and criteria for assessing their impact)
 1. Mobilizing and agenda-setting
 2. Justifying action
 3. Increase funding
 4. Improve knowledge and notoriety
 5. Allow name-and-shame

Renewing the CBD mechanisms: three major features of the « Paris agreement system »



After failure of the Kyoto mechanism,
from a breaking-down of global objectives and share of the burden
to a “pledge and review mechanism

1. Country-based pledges... but collectively assessed and open to challenging (and even legal proceedings “outside the agreement”) w.r.t a long term objective (=“adequacy” for 2°C horizon and corresponding methodology)
2. Renewed conversation: about what you and I are doing now, to what deep changes we are committed, what transformation is planned and expected
3. + usual commitments and responsibilities of parties to the agreement

Renewing biodiversity governance: other ways for action



1. Increase / strengthen the “organizational hub” role of CBD
2. Deal with major economic globalized drivers or biodiversity depletion (globalized markets and value chains): aiming at (bilateral) trade agreements...
3. Targeting a specific driver with a protocol: the “Shanghai Protocol on Pesticides”? Or on soil sealing? Or on harmful subsidies...

Discussion: what research agenda?
